#### H-mode Access and Pedestal Characteristics at High Magnetic Field (7.8 T) in Alcator C-Mod Discharges

E.A. Tolman<sup>1</sup>, J.W. Hughes<sup>1</sup>, P. Snyder<sup>2</sup>, S. Wolfe<sup>1</sup>, A. Hubbard<sup>1</sup>, S. Wukitch<sup>1</sup>, B. LaBombard<sup>1</sup>, and the Alcator C-Mod team

<sup>1</sup>MIT Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Cambridge, MA, USA

<sup>2</sup>General Atomics, San Diego, CA, USA

Friday, April 28, 2017

US/EU TTF 2017, Williamsburg, VA

Supported by National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship and by U.S. Department of Energy award DE-FC02-99ER54512, using Alcator C-Mod, a DOE Office of Science User Facility

## H-mode at high B (7.8 T) merits increased analysis



- High magnetic field tokamak concepts (e.g. ARC, 9.2 T [1]) create a path to compact, less expensive reactors
- Experience with tokamak operation predominantly developed at lower magnetic field and lower values of pedestal pressure
- Set of experiments in last Alcator C-Mod run campaign elucidate behavior of:
  - I-mode at 7.8 T [2]
  - Super H-mode at 5.4 T— J.W. Hughes, Wednesday A.M.
  - H-mode threshold power at 7.8 T
  - H-mode regime access at 7.8 T
  - Pedestal parameters (height, width) at 7.8 T
- Results seen to be broadly consistent with experience at lower field

<sup>[2]</sup> A. E. Hubbard, et al., submitted to Nuclear Fusion

#### H-mode Threshold Power at 7.8 T



#### H-mode threshold physics depends on B 🎾

'MHD

dt

 L-H confinement transition occurs at threshold value, P<sub>th</sub>, of loss power:

$$P_{Loss} = P_{OH} + P_{AUX} - \frac{av}{2}$$

- ITPA scaling reads [1]:  $P_{th} \sim n_e^{0.7} B^{0.8} S^{0.9}$
- At fixed B and size, "low density branch" where  $P_{th}$  increases below characteristic value  $n_{th,min}$ :
  - *E<sub>r</sub>* well is created by ion pressure gradient, but electrons are preferentially heated
  - Ryter scaling law for location of  $n_{th,min}$  reads [2]:

 $n_{th,min} [10^{20} m^{-3}] \approx .07 I_p^{0.34} B_T^{0.62} a^{-0.95} {(R/a)}^{0.4}$ 

#### $P_{th}(\overline{n_e})$ for JET shots from 2.5 to 2.8 T [1]



<sup>[1]</sup> Y.R. Martin, et. al., J. Phys.: Conf. Ser., 123 (2008).

<sup>[2]</sup> F. Ryter, et. al., Nuclear Fusion 54 (2014).

### Previous C-Mod work at lower B explores scaling law behavior





[1] Y Ma, et. al., Nuclear Fusion 52, 378 (2012).

# Set of shots at 7.8 T analyzed to determine P<sub>th</sub>

- Set of 26 shots from 2016 run campaign at 7.8 T analyzed to determine  $P_{LOSS} = P_{OH} + P_{AUX} - \frac{dW_{MHD}}{dt}$  at time of L-H transition
- All shots LSN with ion grad-B drift towards X-point
- High B operation requires lower-efficiency D(He<sup>3</sup>) ICRF heating
- Efficiency estimated by using notch in ICRF power during Lmode

• 
$$\eta_{RF} = \frac{dW_{MHD}/dt}{\Delta P_{RF}} = .5$$

• 
$$P_{AUX} = \eta_{RF} P_{RF}$$





#### H-mode transitions at 7.8 T occur near ITPA scaling

-Moa



# n<sub>th,min</sub> at 7.8 T lower than Ryter prediction; similar to 5.4 T value





7.8 T data can guide extrapolations to higher B



- ITPA scaling law well represents data at 7.8 T, and some parts of lower field data
- n<sub>th,min</sub> seen to increase weakly with magnetic field on C-Mod

#### H-mode regime access at 7.8 T



#### 11

#### Standard 7.8 T H-mode was nonstationary ELM-free

- ELM-free H-modes routinely obtained across all C-Mod fields
- These H-modes exhibit a continual rise in density and temperature ending in radiative collapse





#### ELMy H-modes extended to 7.8 T





#### Stationary, ELM-suppressed EDA Hmodes extended to 7.8 T

- C-Mod observes the steady state EDA (Enhanced D<sub>α</sub>) Hmode at high density and q<sub>95</sub>
- EDA characterized by quasicoherent mode (QCM)
- EDA H-Mode obtained at high density
  - Elevated P<sub>th</sub> creates heating challenges at high magnetic field
- First confirmed EDA Hmode at 7.8 T obtained in 2016







## Operating space of H-mode regimes at 7.8 T follows lower-B intuition



### Operating space of H-mode regimes at 7.8 T follows lower-B intuition



### Operating space of H-mode regimes at 7.8 T follows lower-B intuition



#### H-mode pedestal characteristics at 7.8 T



### Pedestal structure quantified using mtanh function

 In order to consistently define pedestal location, width, and height, and baseline, Thomson 5 profiles are fit with:

profiles are fit with:  

$$z(r) = \frac{r_0 - r}{\Delta/2}$$

$$mtanh(\alpha, z) = (1 + \alpha z)e^{z} - e^{-z})$$

$$\frac{(1 + \alpha z)e^{z} - e^{-z}}{e^{z} + e^{-z}}$$

$$k_0^2 = \frac{b+h}{2} + \frac{h-b}{2} mtanh(\alpha, z)$$

$$\psi_N$$
18



### ELMy H-mode pressure pedestals constrained by stability



• Kinetic ballooning mode (KBM) onset condition gives condition constraining pedestal width [1]:

 $\Delta_{\psi} = c \beta_{p,ped}^{1/2}$ 

$$\beta_{p,ped} = \frac{2n_{e,ped}T_{e,ped}}{\mu_0 \langle B_p \rangle^2}$$

#### Limited previous data suggested narrowing of width at 7.8 T





#### [1] J. Walk, et. al., Nuclear Fusion, 52 (2012).

20

# Coefficient from 5.4 T shots fits 7.8 T data well





- Fit pressure profiles from Thomson measurements immediately before ELM in 7.8 T shots
- Width prediction using coefficient from data at mostly 5.4 T predicts the fit profiles at 7.8 T well
- Narrowing of pedestal suggested in previous work is not seen

#### EPED couples KBM constraint with peelingballooning stability to predict pedestal





 Previous work has compared EPED predictions with measured pressure up to 5.4 T [1]

# Preliminary EPED results extend comparison to 7.8 T



Moa

# EDA density pedestals determined by conditions before L-H transition



- EDA pressure pedestals are away from MHD stability boundaries [1]
- EDA pedestal displays characteristic quasicoherent mode, which appears to fix density pedestal after L-H transition
- Density pedestal width previously observed to show little systematic variation with plasma parameters [2]
- J.W. Hughes, et. al., Nuclear Fusion 53 (2013).
   J. W. Hughes, et. al, Physics of Plasmas 9 (2002)



t (s)

24

### EDA density pedestal height dependent on magnetic field



- Magnetic fields from 2.7 T to 7.8 T
- q<sub>95</sub> from 2.88 to 5.93
- L-mode target density from .95 x 10<sup>20</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> to 2.31 x 10<sup>20</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>
- Resulting fit law reads:



 $n_{e,ped}[10^{20}m^{-3}] =$ 3.48  $I_P[MA]^{0.54} \overline{n_{e,L}}[10^{20}m^{-3}]^{0.52} B[T]^{-0.36}$ 

#### EDA density pedestal height dependent on magnetic field

- Analyze database of 85 C-Mod steady EDA H-Modes
  - Magnetic fields from 2.7 T to 7.8 T
  - q<sub>95</sub> from 2.88 to 5.93
  - L-mode target density from .95 x 10<sup>20</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> to 2.31 x 10<sup>20</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>
- Resulting fit law reads:



# Conclusions: H-mode behavior at high magnetic field



- Most recent C-Mod run campaign extends H-mode experience at 7.8 T
- L-H transitions
  - L-H transitions at 7.8 T occur around ITPA scaling
  - Density corresponding to lowest P<sub>th</sub> increases with magnetic field
- H-mode type
  - All types of H-mode routinely obtained on C-Mod can be obtained at 7.8 T
  - These types live in expected parameter space
- Pedestal characteristics
  - No evidence of ELMy pressure pedestal narrowing at high B
  - EDA scaling displays magnetic field dependence



#### Thomson scattering system

- Two Nd:YAG lasers fired vertically through machine
- Each laser is 50 Hz, so with full operationality the overall measurement is 100 Hz
- Scattered light collected by core and edge fibers running to polychromators
- Scattering volumes shown by green dots at right
- Vertical locations mapped to midplane by EFIT equilibrium reconstruction code

